

## **ACTIONS**

| Ladywood Regeneration  |  |        |               |  |
|------------------------|--|--------|---------------|--|
| Meeting Date and Time: | Tuesday 28 <sup>th</sup> November 2023<br>at 5pm | Venue: | Council House |  |

Attendees: Leader, Cllr Francis, Paul Kitson, Greg Tillotson, Planning Director, St George and St Joseph, David Stephenson, Project Director for Ladywood, Laura Kudrna, George Smith, Marcia Wynter

| Item | Key points/Actions  | Action Holder |
|------|---|---------------|
| 1    | <ul> <li>LK Lived in Ladywood last several years. Purchased property, then learned from news to be demolished.</li> <li>GS CEO multi academy trust. 30 years in Birmingham, settled in Ladywood. Live on private estate.</li> <li>Shared presentation.</li> <li>Would like BCC to drop current developer, redraw red line and take a brownfield first approach.</li> <li>Commitment to single move and rehousing in the areas like for like properties at no additional cost to residents.</li> <li>Ashton Croft residents, in order to move to a new home at additional cost would not have the money to do so.</li> <li>Concern re mental health issues, picking up stories from residents.</li> <li>LK Residents are concerned. Would there be opportunity to start again? Masterplan appendix one of report</li> <li>PK Slight victim of way to procure development partner. Process in January is to start from scratch re consultation. Will be a long exercise.</li> <li>GS Lack of response to communication has been shocking. Went through whole summer without response. Sent to generic email and information is contradictory.</li> <li>Group of people put into difficult position.</li> <li>No rationale for demolishing good quality homes.</li> <li>Leaflets mentioned streets for regeneration, private homes not mentioned.</li> <li>Limited resident places available for engagement workshops. 12% for private homeowners (out of 600 private homeowners).</li> <li>No voice in relation to Community Charter.</li> <li>Would like to be involved in resident charter.</li> <li>No timeframe given to refurbishment of towers (628 flats).</li> <li>Concern from residents re poor quality of accommodation – shortfall of £9.6 million (FBC June 2023)</li> <li>Will these people be prioritised?</li> <li>Lack of sufficient affordable homes – 5% not good, should be 35%.</li> </ul> |               |



|   | Stigmatisation of current community in regeneration document.          |
|---|--|
|   | Failure to fulfil the duty to consult prior to the bidding process.    |
|   | Number of academics looking into issues.                               |
|   | 8 principles agreed by Council do not appear.                          |
|   | For me to replace my home will cost another £200k in the city centre.  |
|   |  |
| 2 | Moving forward Actions proposed by Ladywood Unite                      |
|   | Central Ladywood residents at the very core of the process.            |
|   | Redraw the red line area.  |
|   | Neighbourhood Heights within red line area. Asked if really wanted to  |
|   | live there can make so much money out of regeneration.                 |
|   | Independent consultation specialist is provided to help, assist and    |
|   | support the local community. Council going out to tender.              |
|   | Want ringfenced budget to be used for legal challenge.                 |
|   | Residents represented equally in all meetings.                         |
|   | Residents provided with the indicative masterplan.                     |
|   | Involved in drafting Community Charter.                                |
|   | Like for like properties at no additional cost.                        |
|   | Clear plan to support housing association residents.                   |
|   | CPO clarity requested.   |
|   | Setting up Central Ladywood Community Forum.                           |
|   | Not happy with local councillors – feel let down by them.              |
|   | Roger Harmer responded to questions throughout the summer.             |
|   | Possible displacement of entire community and obliteration of the      |
|   | essence of Ladywood.   |
| 3 | Comments   |
|   | Leader Important to have proper community engagement –                 |
|   | independent support for process. Gave commitment.                      |
|   | Need to find a way of working together.                                |
|   | Want to ensure to find a way forward.                                  |
|   | Took over as leader in the summer with Cllr Francis.                   |
|   | PK Thank you for running through presentation. No doubt did not get    |
|   | off to start the way we wanted to and apologised.                      |
|   | Think that narrower set of engagement sessions were better.            |
|   | Will take on information re officers lying, fluffiness etc.            |
|   | Started to make some amends but you have given a lot of feedback.      |
|   | Want to see how to engage with residents. Has worked elsewhere but     |
|   | want to proceed with developer.  |
|   | PK Need to agree residents charter. Are options open to residents.     |
|   | GT Is a red line, but as part of consultation process, masterplan is   |
|   | starting again. May be some parts that could be committed.             |
|   | In favour of tower blocks, redevelopment of existing housing, non      |
|   | brownfield housing. So if happy with keeping your homes are you        |
|   | happy with regen?  |
|   |  |
|   | LK If you protect people in good quality housing would have less of an |
|   |  |



- GT 72% of people will be provided with new homes, or refurbed at the cost of the development.
- Laura says structural issues will not be picked up. Advised will be provided with a new home and will have to pay additional.
- Will be major investment at no cost to the city.
- LK What about private homeowners?
- GT HA homes will be provided with new homes.
- LK If like for like at no additional cost, no issue.
- GT Re CPO would be no cap.
- GS Why advise there is a cap?
- Leader, just need to provide clarity re cap.
- PK Need clarity re home owner offer.
- Important not to draw conclusion from report re refurb for homes.
- Would also ask you not to jump to conclusions.
- GS Want to work with you and want to be ethical. Said no to radical groups.
- GS Turned down tick tok lawyer.
- Leader Need ongoing conversation take away what has been presented. Can answer some issues eg CPO, home owner offer
- Need longer more structured conversation re masterplan.

**Paul Kitson**